IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Jeremiah C. Dowty,)
Disinsiff) No. 2:14-cv-4733-RMG
Plaintiff,) ORDER
vs.)
James K. Brennan and Christopher Craven,)
Defendants.))
	_)

This matter comes before the Court on the Report and Recommendation (R & R) of the Magistrate Judge (Dkt. No. 54), recommending that Defendant Craven's motion to dismiss (Dkt. No. 43) be granted. Plaintiff was advised of his right to file objections to the R & R and a failure to file timely objections would result in limited review by the District Court and waiver of the right to appeal the judgment of the District Court. (Dkt. No. 54 at 12). Plaintiff filed no timely objection to the R & R.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270–71 (1976). The Court may "accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). This Court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the R & R or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made. *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)); *accord* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). However, as to portions of the R & R to which no objection is made, this Court "must 'only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the

2:14-cv-04733-RMG-BM Date Filed 10/26/15 Entry Number 57 Page 2 of 2

face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc.

Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P 72 advisory committee note).

Additionally, the Court need not give any explanation for adopting the R & R in the absence of

specific objections by the parties. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 200 (4th Cir. 1983)

("Absent objection, we do not believe that any explanation need be given for adopting the

report.").

The Court has reviewed the Complaint in this matter, the R & R of the Magistrate Judge

and the relevant case law. The Magistrate Judge ably and thoroughly addressed the factual and

legal issues in this matter and correctly concluded that Defendant Craven's motion to dismiss

should be granted. Therefore, the Court ADOPTS the R & R of the Magistrate Judge (Dkt. No.

54) as the order of the Court, GRANTS Defendant Craven's motion to dismiss (Dkt. No. 43) and

DISMISSES this action against Defendant Craven. This order does not effect Plaintiff's claims

against Defendant Brennan.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

Richard Mark Gergel

United States District Judge

October 23, 2015

Charleston, South Carolina

2